

Worksheet 5. Application Summary

This worksheet will be posted on the web to notify the public of requests for critical use exemptions beyond the 2005 phase out for methyl bromide. Therefore, this worksheet cannot be claimed as CBI.

1. Consortium Name: Kraft Foods North America
2. Location: Three Lakes Drive, Northfield, IL
3. Crop: _____
- Pounds of Methyl
4. Bromide Requested 2005 _____ lbs.
- Volume Treated with
5. Methyl Bromide 2005 _____ (1,000 cu ft)
6. If methyl bromide is requested for additional years, reason for request:
No technically or economically feasible alternative.

| | | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|
| 2006 _____ lbs. | Volume Treated _____ (1,000 cu ft) | |
| 2007 _____ lbs. | Volume Treated _____ (1,000 cu ft) | |

Place an "X" in the column(s) labeled "Not Technically Feasible" and/or "Not Economically Feasible" where appropriate. Use the "Reasons" column to describe why the potential alternative is not feasible.

| Potential Alternatives | Not Technically Feasible | Not Economically Feasible | Reasons |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Phosphine and carbon dioxide | x | | Corrosive to precious metals including copper. Potential damage to electrical systems and components |
| Heat | x | x | No studies assessing long term effects of heat on electrical systems and components or on building structures. Not economically feasible. |
| Sulfuryl Fluoride | ? | | The label for Sulfuryl fluoride was just recently approved for flour mills by the EPA. We need to determine the efficacy of this compound before committing to its use. We note that it is not yet approved for food processing plants so therefore, if it is effective it will be of only marginal use. |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |